UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,711	08/25/2006	Yoichi Hida	2006_1417A	2560
52349 7590 04/28/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			LEE, MICHAEL	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/590,711	HIDA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	M. Lee	2622		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,16-20 and 23-33 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,17-20,23,24,26,27,29,30,32 a 7) Claim(s) 2,7-12,16,25,28 and 31 is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. nd 33 is/are rejected. ed to. r election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Ediawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ition is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/590,711 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 17, 23, 30, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lee (KR2004110711A).

Regarding claim 1, Lee discloses a microcomputer 10 for detecting motion in a video signal generated by a camera A and generating an OSD movement command in response to the detected video motion. In respond to the OSD movement command, a microcomputer 30 moves the OSD to a new location. Although not explicitly show, the microcomputers 10 and 30 inherently include the obtaining step, the detecting step, and the performing step as claimed.

Regarding claim 3, Lee inherently includes the claimed detecting step because the microcomputer 10 is able to detect any video change or movement displayed on the screen.

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Lee inherently includes the claimed state change amount calculation because the video motion is calculated based on two frame or field difference.

Regarding claims 17 and 23, note Lee's abstract.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,711 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claims 30, 32 and 33, see the corresponding rejections as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 18-20, 24, 26, 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee.

Regarding claims 18-20, 24, 26, 27 and 29, Lee does not specify the OSD presentation schemes as claimed. It is recognized that the OSD microcomputer 30 in Lee is fully capable to perform all the claimed OSD presentation functions. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to configure the microcomputer 30 of Lee to perform the well known functions as claimed. The modifications would have been considered obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 7-12, 16, 25 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,711 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kaneko (5,969,727) shows an OSD display with moving video.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran, can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622 Application/Control Number: 10/590,711

Page 5

Art Unit: 2622